

**RESOLUTION No. 37-08**

A RESOLUTION OF THE RICHMOND CITY COUNCIL OPPOSING THE  
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE AERIAL  
SPRAY PROGRAM TO ERADICATE THE LIGHT BROWN APPLE  
MOTH

---

**WHEREAS**, the Light Brown Apple Moth (LBAM) is a pest subject to Federal and State quarantine and eradication orders; and

**WHEREAS**, there is a confirmed presence of Light Brown Apple Moths in the County of Contra Costa; and

**WHEREAS**, the California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA) plans to begin an LBAM aerial spraying program in the County of Contra Costa and surrounding areas in August 2008; and

**WHEREAS**, modern Integrated Pest Management (IPM) relies on least-toxic, environmentally sensitive control methods; and

**WHEREAS**, least-toxic control options are available for LBAM, including physical and cultural practices such as clean-up of plant debris where moth larvae over winter; use of natural predators, parasites, and insect diseases; introduction of sterile male moths; and use of pheromone sticky traps ;and

**WHEREAS**, aerial and other blanket pesticide applications have repeatedly been shown in the past to upset natural ecosystem balance in unpredictable and often catastrophic ways; and

**WHEREAS**, aerial and other blanket pesticide applications have repeatedly been shown in the past to cause unintended, unpredictable, and often serious human health effects; and

**WHEREAS**, the State has claimed an emergency exemption under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) in order to begin the LBAM aerial spraying program without completing an environmental review; and

**WHEREAS**, the State began the spraying program in Monterey and Santa Cruz Counties in 2007 without completing an Environmental Impact Report and has confirmed that it will not complete an Environmental Impact Report before the aerial spraying program continues in 2008; and

**WHEREAS**, blanket spraying of chemicals is expensive and inefficient; and

**WHEREAS**, biologists have testified that aerial pesticide spraying is extremely unlikely to eradicate LBAM; and

**WHEREAS**, biologists have testified that the range over which LBAM has been detected in California indicates that LBAM has been established in the state for decades; and

**WHEREAS**, CDFA has stated that no physical crop damage has been attributed to LBAM; and

**WHEREAS**, scientific study indicates that LBAM does no significant biological or environmental damage to crops or wild land plants in New Zealand where LBAM has been an introduced exotic pest for more than 100 years; and

**WHEREAS**, scientific study indicates that LBAM is 80-90% controlled by natural predators in New Zealand and the same "generalist" natural predators are present in California; and

**WHEREAS**, the risk of economic damage alone does not justify the health and environmental risks of aerial pesticide applications; and

**WHEREAS**, the State has relied almost entirely on its own scientists to address public concerns about the LBAM spray program and has not employed independent outside experts to evaluate and support the program or and address issues in a direct and impartial manner; and

**WHEREAS**, the CDFA LBAM spraying program has used pesticides that both State and independent toxicologists have stated have not been tested for long-term human exposure risk and toxicity; and

**WHEREAS**, the CDFA LBAM spraying program is relying on pesticides that contain ingredients that are highly toxic to aquatic life; and

**WHEREAS**, the CDFA LBAM spraying program is relying on pesticides that contain ingredients that are documented to be tumorigenic/carcinogenic, mutagenic, reproductive effectors linked to birth defects, liver toxins, dermal irritants, and unsafe to inhale; and

**WHEREAS**, the CDFA LBAM program sprays pesticides in microscopic plastic capsules that pose unknown inhalation risks; and

**WHEREAS**, the CDFA LBAM program sprays pesticides in microscopic plastic capsules that scientific study has shown will be 10 microns or smaller in size, which is small enough to be inhaled to the deep lung and not expelled; and

**WHEREAS**, the California Department of Pesticide Regulation and Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment Consensus Document on Health Risks of the LBAM Spray indicates that the State is relying on conclusions drawn from research that assumes that the pesticides to be used for LBAM will be sprayed over agricultural rather than populated urban areas; and

**WHEREAS**, aerial spraying disproportionately affects vulnerable populations such as those who work and play outdoors, those with the recognized disability multiple chemical sensitivity, and those in the homeless population who have no option for protection from the spray or receipt of written notification of spray dates; and

**WHEREAS**, the California Department of Pesticide Regulation and Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment Consensus Document on Health Risks of the LBAM Spray acknowledges that the state cannot account for health effects of the pesticides on vulnerable populations such as children, the elderly, and those with chronic diseases; and

**WHEREAS**, LBAM aerial spraying in the Santa Cruz and Monterey areas resulted in the spraying of numerous residents and pets; and

**WHEREAS**, hundreds of reports of health effects were reported following the LBAM aerial spraying in Santa Cruz and Monterey counties; and

**WHEREAS**, other environmental impacts were reported following the LBAM aerial spraying in the Monterey and Santa Cruz areas;

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED** that the Richmond City Council

- 1) Opposes the CDFA aerial spray program to eradicate LBAM, and directs the City Manager to notify the Governor and other relevant State and Federal officials of this opposition;
- 2) Requests that CDFA protect the health and welfare of the residents and natural environment of the City and County of San Francisco by immediately shifting its LBAM control methods to least-toxic Integrated Pest Management methods that include monitoring to determine the true risk posed by LBAM;
- 3) Requests that CDFA shift its focus to educating the USDA regarding the lack of crop damage done by LBAM, and the need to use least-toxic pest control methods that do not expose populated areas to aerial spraying
- 4) Supports, based on up-to-date science, downgrading of the current USDA classification of LBAM as a quarantinable pest, removing the quarantine of areas where the moth has been found in California, and notification of trading partners of this reclassification;
- 5) Requests that the State conduct a long-term study of the health and environmental effects resulting from the aerial spraying project that has been conducted to date in Monterey and Santa Cruz counties, taking into account reports collected by citizens in the absence of an easily accessible method of reporting to the State;

6 Supports passage of state legislation requiring explicit consent of affected residents before any aerial spray, AB 2892 (Swanson) as well as the package of other bills (AB 2760, AB 2763, AB 2764, AB 2765, SCR 87, and ACR 117) introduced calling for an immediate moratorium on aerial spray and for other modifications to the State's aerial spray protocol, including completion of Environmental Impact Report before spraying, disclosure of pesticide ingredients, invasive species advance planning, and shifting responsibility to the Governor for declaring an emergency before State aerial spray can proceed;

7) Will work with other Bay Area cities and counties to stop the aerial spraying, including exploring joining regional legal action.

I certify that the forgoing was passed and adopted by the Council of the City of Richmond, California at a meeting held on April 1, 2008, by the following votes:

AYES: Councilmembers Bates, Butt, Lopez, Marquez, Rogers, Sandhu, Thurmond, Viramontes, and Mayor McLaughlin

NOES: None

ABSTENTIONS: None

ABSENT: None

DIANE HOLMES  
Clerk of the City of Richmond

[SEAL]

APPROVED:

GAYLE McLAUGHLIN  
MAYOR

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE RENNE, Interim  
City Attorney

State of California            }  
County of Contra Costa    : ss.  
City of Richmond            }

I certify that the foregoing is a true copy of Resolution No. 37-08, finally passed and adopted by the Council of the City of Richmond at a meeting held on April 1, 2008.